The interface between wild boar and extensive pig production:

implications for the spread of ASF in Eastern Europe

Sergei Khomenko, PhD

Disease ecology & wildlife Specialist, FAO HQ



Epidemiological cycle of ASF in Eastern Europe



ASF transmission at the interface



- **Disposal of contaminated carcasses** and products scavenged upon by wild boar (*very common*);
- Direct contacts between domestic and wild pigs where free range pig breeding exists (*rare and localized*);
- Environmental contamination and mechanical transmission with feed & other livestock species sharing habitats with wildlife or humans attending wild boar habitats (moderately common);
- Hunting wild boar (always highly selective towards sick animals !) and delivery of contaminated carcasses to the households (occurs increasingly more often)

A shift in the seasonality of ASF in wild boar after 2010 towards summer



Dudnikov et al, 2014

In 2012-2014 ASF has shifted to a much higher density area in the RF



Spread of ASF in EE SUMMARY







All outbreak density, 2007-2014

ГУСТОТА УСІХ СПАЛАХІВ

2009.





Russian Federation: risk factors for Europe and beyond

Contributors: Sergei Khomenko *, Daniel Behter-Alcrudo *, Andrig Rozstalnyy *, Andrey Gogin *, Denie Kolbasov *, Julio Pinto *, Juan Lubroth *, Vincent Martin * Food and Agricultura Organization of the United Nations (FAO) * Al-Russian Scientific Research Institute of Vestmary Workog and Microbiology (Pokrov, Russian Federation)

2012

In countries where the density of wild boar is higher than in the Russian Federation, i.e. Belarus, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and Ukraine (Table 1 and Fig. 7), the epidemiological role of wild boar may differ from what has been observed so far in the Russian Federation. A higher involvement of wild boar in the transmission cycle can be expected, and perhaps even a continuous (year-round) transmission cycle. Although wild boar comprise only 1.9 percent of the susceptible population in the region at risk (Table 1), their wild and free-living nature makes prevention and control in those populations particularly challenging.





Average density in the affected countries

Country	heads/km ²
Russia	0.08
Ukraine	0.11
Belarus	0.28
Estonia	0.51
Poland	0.73
Lithuania	0.84
Latvia	1.04

What is population density ?

- At country level just a convenient standardized way to compare relative abundance;
- 2. At province / district level compare relative abundance at sub-national level;
- Real population density (epidemiologically relevant) is the number of animals per unit area of suitable habitats.

3 – is very variable in time and space and difficult to measure in a consistent and comparable way between locations

Wild boar population modeling in the N Eurasia



Population and/or harvest data (2005-2010) on 504 spatial objects in 48 countries

3,600,000 – post harvest 2-2,500,000 - harvested



+ Clipped



Resultant polygons were used to re-calculate average density of wild boar (particularly at the edges of its distribution range)

Zero population and 3 outliers with extremely high population density were removed from the dataset

Tasks, approaches and expected geospatial products

- A niche-based deterministic modeling to identify predictors explaining pattern(s) of wild boar distribution and population density;
- Developing a geostatistical approach to disaggregate wild boar population data from admin units to 1 or 5 km resolution rasters based on the predictors;
- Produce a set of fine scale geospatial products describing patterns of suitability / distribution / population density of wild boar on the scale of North Palearctic.



5

9

ŝ

0

10

ę

Component 2

Results of wild boar range classification into 4 niches



East European (2) & Southern (3) models :

only trends without ATP kriging adjustment





Modeled wild boar **spring** population density in E Europe and ASF outbreaks in 2013-14



Density

heads / km2

0 - 0.062



Population density and size maps

Note that scales are different:

Latvia 0.3 – 2.2 heads / km2

Belarus 0 – 0.9 heads / km2





Population density at 5 km resolution and estimates by districts



Population density and estimates at district level

ASF in wild boar and domestic pigs in Ukraine and wild boar density map





Quantifying the interface

< BACKYARD PIG DENSITY (0 - 85)



< WILD BOAR DENSITY (0 - 0.4)





Fine scale backyard pig population mapping

< INTERPOLATED BACKYARD PIG DENSITY

< INTERPOLATED EPIUNIT (VILLAGE) DENSITY



Applications of the suitability / population density maps

- Population (or sample sizes) estimates for prevalence surveys or management interventions;
- Risks of wild/domestic interactions, spillover events;
- Population connectivity / fragmentation simulated disease spread;
- Disease modeling in combination with other spatial variables;
- Retrospective epidemiological studies (e.g. in Russia and other ASF affected countries);



Seasonality patterns may strongly differ from area to area





Carcasses of domestic pigs and wild boar can survive for months frozen and snow covered and can re-initiate transmission cycle in spring

Environmental factors



Understanding and managing ASF at the wild/domestic interface

- Currently wild boar / extensive pig production (backyard) are a UNIQUE epidemiological system with regards to maintaining and propagating ASF infection;
- Disease prevention / control should address BOTH sectors and anticipate a rather complex interplay of various risk factors that are changing in time and space;
- All the information / strategies / efforts have to be shared and harmonized across the affected (or at risk countries) and sectors (pig production & wildlife management or forestry) involved.



"STOP ASF" - Ukrainian online decision support GIS

• ELEMENTS OF THE SYSTEM:

EPIZOOTIC SITUATION WITH ASF IN UKRAINE AND NEIGHBORING COUNTRIES

MODULE SUPPORT FOR DECISION-MAKING

ACTION PLANNING OBSERVATION

NOTIFICATION OF SUSPICION OF ASF IN UKRAINE

RISKS ENTRY ACHS IN UKRAINE

RISKS SPREAD IN UKRAINE

SCHEME SHARING ONLINE VERSION OF GIS

THE NUMBER AND PLACEMENT OF STOCK

GALLERY OF MAPS, GRAPHS AND TABLES Elements of the system:

Google translate from Ukrainian !

- 1. Description and User Help
- 2. The epidemiological situation with ASF in Ukraine and neighboring countries
- 3. The number and placement of pigs (4 levels)
- 4. The risks of entering the country ACHS
- 5. Risk factors common in Ukraine
- 6. Module support decisions on disease control
- 7. Module monitoring the disease of pigs
- 8. Notification of suspicion of ASF in Ukraine
- 9. Module update
- 10. Additional and reference materials (manuals, publications, etc.)
- 11. GIS data for battery life (based on the Program "Earth")

SUBPAGES (8): EPIZOOTIC SITUATION WITH ASF IN UKRAINE AND NEIGHBORING COUNTRIES MODULE SUPPORT FOR DECISION-MAKING ACTIVITIES PLANNING OBSERVATION NOTICE SUSPICION OF ASF IN UKRAINE RISKS ENTRY ACHS IN

Simple Google services based decision support systems could be a good common information platform for all countries



Advantages of dynamic online GIS:

- **1. Cost effective -** does not require commercial software and expensive training of personnel.
- 2. Expandable, updatable and easily customisable to accommodate new information (including other species / diseases) in future.
- **3. Adapted to collaborative data submission** and revision from multiple remote computers;
- **4. User friendly and accessible** from various internet connected devices;
- **5. Allows for various levels of access** to the data and information products (e.g. ensure that control of user credentials is possible).

Operational ASF control map – Chernigov Oblast, Ukraine



A zoom into the dynamic map



Зона захисту та спостереження: 66 епіодиниць (мінімум 2738 свиней), з яких 3 - невеликі ферми (16+90+61 = 167 свиней), одне місто (Корюківка) та 62 села (селища).

Map data ©2014 Google Imagery ©2014 TerraMetrics Terms

Way forward with ASF problem, wild boar and wildlife disease issues

- Systematic epidemiological studies on ASF in the affected countries are badly needed;
- A manual on disease management in wild boar (other wildlife?) based on the best available knowledge and expertise (EC's experience with CSF is really valuable);
- Wildlife disease training centre/s (laboratory) needed;
- Country/region specific projects piloting management approach to disease prevention and control at the livestock / wildlife interface (CSF, ASF, FMD, AI, rabies +)

Territoriality and movements

1 hour resolution movements of a tracked wild boar saw in Bulgaria



- Normally very small home ranges (4 km²);
- Very boring schedules ☺

 Disrupted by only food availability or disturbance





Movement types

- Daily movements of families and boars (<2 km);</p>
- Seasonal feeding raids and congregations (up to 5-10 km rarely longer);
- Boar movements at rut (25-30 km) and juvenile male dispersal (sometimes up to 150-200 km);
- Seasonal shift of habitats (in the mountains and deserts, at the edge of the distribution range);
- Emergency (flood, fire, hunting pressure) induced movements.

THANKS FOR ATTENTION



Wild Boar makes only 1.9 % of the susceptible population in the ASF affected and at risk countries





ASF is likely to endemically persist in domestic pigs in Eastern Europe for a long time (YEARS !) and will expand to the West

As ASF progressively expands westwards there is a high risk that it arrives to the areas where wild boar population is extremely high (e.g. up to **10 heads / km2**)



ASF v CSF in wild boar and LB pigs in 2010-2012



WILD BOAR DENSITY



Duration of freezing conditions

